

Design & Appraisal Stage Quality Assurance Report

Form Status: Approved

Overall Rating:	Highly Satisfactory
Decision:	Approve: The project is of sufficient quality to continue as planned. Any management actions must be addressed in a timely manner.
Portfolio/Project Number:	00127858
Portfolio/Project Title:	Protecting the Lives of Vulnerable Population COVID19
Portfolio/Project Date:	2020-06-08 / 2020-12-31

Strategic**Quality Rating: Exemplary**

1. Does the project specify how it will contribute to higher level change through linkage to the programme's Theory of Change?

- 3: *The project is clearly linked to the programme's theory of change. It has an explicit change pathway that explains how the project will contribute to outcome level change and why the project's strategy will likely lead to this change. This analysis is backed by credible evidence of what works effectively in this context and includes assumptions and risks.*
- 2: The project is clearly linked to the programme's theory of change. It has a change pathway that explains how the project will contribute to outcome-level change and why the project strategy will likely lead to this change.
- 1: The project document may describe in generic terms how the project will contribute to development results, without an explicit link to the programme's theory of change.

Evidence:

Se adjunta el documento del proyecto y el QA checklist por RCO.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	ProposalMPTF-Covid19PNUD-UNODC-Call1Window10021-signed_5537_101 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ProposalMPTF-Covid19PNUD-UNODC-Call1Window10021-signed_5537_101.pdf)	daniella.olivares@undp.org	6/19/2020 6:46:00 PM
2	ProposalCertification-Appraisal_UNDPUNODC_5537_101 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ProposalCertification-Appraisal_UNDPUNODC_5537_101.pdf)	laura.rivera@undp.org	6/22/2020 6:52:00 PM

2. Is the project aligned with the UNDP Strategic Plan?

- 3: The project responds to at least one of the development settings as specified in the [Strategic Plan¹](#) and adapts at least one [Signature Solution²](#). The project's RRF includes all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project responds to at least one of the development settings as specified in the [Strategic Plan⁴](#). The project's RRF includes at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)*
- 1: The project responds to a partner's identified need, but this need falls outside of the UNDP Strategic Plan. Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

Evidence:

Se adjunta la propuesta del proyecto

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	ProposalMPTF-Covid19PNUD-UNODC-Call1Window10021-signed_5537_102 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ProposalMPTF-Covid19PNUD-UNODC-Call1Window10021-signed_5537_102.pdf)	daniella.olivares@undp.org	6/19/2020 6:48:00 PM



3. Is the project linked to the programme outputs? (i.e., UNDAF Results Group Workplan/CPD, RPD or Strategic Plan IRRF for global projects/strategic interventions not part of a programme)


- Yes
- No


Evidence:

El proyecto PNUD, es parte de un programa conjunto que se alinea a los resultados buscados por el Gobierno de El Salvador para responder a COVID19. Asimismo, se encuentra en línea con lo que dice el UNDAF.

Se proporciona referencia a los documentos que están en preparación por UNCT y gobierno para identificar resultados buscados, así como carta solicitud de GOES para iniciativa.

 UN Humanitarian Response Plan for Combating the COVID-19 Emergency. Draft phase.  Socio-Economic Response Plan to the outbreak of COVID-19, drafted with the Agencies, Funds and Programs of the United Nations System in El Salvador. Draft phase.

 Health Plan for the COVID-19 Emergency, prepared by PAHO / WHO. Final draft phase.

 Technical guides of Ministry of Health to treat patients with chronic diseases and infectious diseases, people living with HIV, pregnant women and people in prisons.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	UNDAF2016-2020EISalvador-FINALREVISADO18MAYO2015_5537_103 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/UNDAF2016-2020EISalvador-FINALREVISADO18MAYO2015_5537_103.pdf)	daniella.olivares@undp.org	6/19/2020 6:51:00 PM
2	NotaNNUUMPTFCOVID-19_5537_103 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/NotaNNUUMPTFCOVID-19_5537_103.pdf)	laura.rivera@undp.org	6/22/2020 6:16:00 PM

Relevant**Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory**

4. Do the project target groups leave furthest behind?

- 3: *The target groups are clearly specified, prioritising discriminated, and marginalized groups left furthest behind, identified through a rigorous process based on evidence.*
- 2: The target groups are clearly specified, prioritizing groups left furthest behind.
- 1: The target groups are not clearly specified.

Evidence:

Se adjunta el documento del proyecto

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	ProposalMPTF-Covid19PNUD-UNODC-Call1Window10021-signed_5537_104 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ProposalMPTF-Covid19PNUD-UNODC-Call1Window10021-signed_5537_104.pdf)	daniella.olivares@undp.org	6/19/2020 6:52:00 PM

5. Have knowledge, good practices, and past lessons learned of UNDP and others informed the project design?

- 3: Knowledge and lessons learned backed by credible evidence from sources such as evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, and/or monitoring have been explicitly used, with appropriate referencing, to justify the approach used by the project.
- 2: *The project design mentions knowledge and lessons learned backed by evidence/sources but have not been used to justify the approach selected.*
- 1: There is little, or no mention of knowledge and lessons learned informing the project design. Any references made are anecdotal and not backed by evidence.

Evidence:

Se adjunta la propuesta de proyecto, que incorpora lecciones aprendidas de otros proyectos, así como conclusiones de diferentes evaluaciones del PNUD

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

6. Does UNDP have a clear advantage to engage in the role envisioned by the project vis-à-vis national / regional / global partners and other actors?

- 3: *An analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners in the area where the project intends to work, and credible evidence supports the proposed engagement of UNDP and partners through the project, including identification of potential funding partners. It is clear how results achieved by partners will complement the project's intended results and a communication strategy is in place to communicate results and raise visibility vis-à-vis key partners. Options for south-south and triangular cooperation have been considered, as appropriate. (all must be true)*
- 2: Some analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners in the area where the project intends to work, and relatively limited evidence supports the proposed engagement of and division of labour between UNDP and partners through the project, with unclear funding and communications strategies or plans.
- 1: No clear analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners in the area that the project intends to work. There is risk that the project overlaps and/or does not coordinate with partners' interventions in this area. Options for south-south and triangular cooperation have not been considered, despite its potential relevance.

Evidence:

Se realizó un análisis así como una selección entre las agencias del Sistema de Naciones Unidas, para ver si el PNUD era la mejor propuesta para implementar el proyecto. Es una propuesta que busca a la innovación para contribuir con las autoridades nacionales para responder a la crisis y que continúen prestando sus servicios esenciales a la población,

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	ProposalCertification-Appraisal_UNDPUNODC_5537_106 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ProposalCertification-Appraisal_UNDPUNODC_5537_106.pdf)	daniella.olivares@undp.org	6/19/2020 6:52:00 PM

Principled

Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory

7. Does the project apply a human rights-based approach?

- 3: *The project is guided by human rights and incorporates the principles of accountability, meaningful participation, and non-discrimination in the project's strategy. The project upholds the relevant international and national laws and standards. Any potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were rigorously identified and assessed as relevant, with appropriate mitigation and management measures incorporated into project design and budget. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project is guided by human rights by prioritizing accountability, meaningful participation and non-discrimination. Potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were identified and assessed as relevant, and appropriate mitigation and management measures incorporated into the project design and budget. (both must be true)
- 1: No evidence that the project is guided by human rights. Limited or no evidence that potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were considered.

Evidence:

Se adjunta el borrador del SESP

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	SESPTemplate-MPTFCOVID19borrador_5537_107 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/SESPTemplate-MPTFCOVID19borrador_5537_107.pdf)	daniella.olivares@undp.org	6/19/2020 6:53:00 PM

8. Does the project use gender analysis in the project design?

- 3: A participatory gender analysis has been conducted and results from this gender analysis inform the development challenge, strategy and expected results sections of the project document. Outputs and indicators of the results framework include explicit references to gender equality, and specific indicators measure and monitor results to ensure women are fully benefitting from the project. (all must be true)
- 2: *A basic gender analysis has been carried out and results from this analysis are scattered (i.e., fragmented and not consistent) across the development challenge and strategy sections of the project document. The results framework may include some gender sensitive outputs and/or activities but gender inequalities are not consistently integrated across each output. (all must be true)*
- 1: The project design may or may not mention information and/or data on the differential impact of the project's development situation on gender relations, women and men, but the gender inequalities have not been clearly identified and reflected in the project document.

Evidence:

El proyecto busca hacer un contribucion significativa a la equidad de genero y el empoderamiento de mujeres y niñas. Asimismo, la iniciativa pretende visibilizar las necesidades de mujeres, población LGBTI y de otros grupos dejados atrás.

Se adjunta la propuesta del proyecto, donde se especifica el enfoque de género y las apuestas en esta area.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	ProposalMPTF-Covid19PNUD-UNODC-Call1Window10021-signed_5537_108 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ProposalMPTF-Covid19PNUD-UNODC-Call1Window10021-signed_5537_108.pdf)	daniella.olivares@undp.org	6/19/2020 6:53:00 PM

9. Did the project support the resilience and sustainability of societies and/or ecosystems?

- 3: *Credible evidence that the project addresses sustainability and resilience dimensions of development challenges, which are integrated in the project strategy and design. The project reflects the interconnections between the social, economic and environmental dimensions of sustainable development. Relevant shocks, hazards and adverse social and environmental impacts have been identified and rigorously assessed with appropriate management and mitigation measures incorporated into project design and budget. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project design integrates sustainability and resilience dimensions of development challenges. Relevant shocks, hazards and adverse social and environmental impacts have been identified and assessed, and relevant management and mitigation measures incorporated into project design and budget. (both must be true)
- 1: Sustainability and resilience dimensions and impacts were not adequately considered.

Evidence:

Se adjunta el borrador del SESP

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	SESPTemplate-MPTFCOVID19borrador_5537_109 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/SESPTemplate-MPTFCOVID19borrador_5537_109.pdf)	daniella.olivares@undp.org	6/19/2020 6:54:00 PM

10. Has the Social and Environmental Screening Procedure (SESP) been conducted to identify potential social and environmental impacts and risks? The SESP is not required for projects in which UNDP is Administrative Agent only and/or projects comprised solely of reports, coordination of events, trainings, workshops, meetings, conferences and/or communication materials and information dissemination. [if yes, upload the completed checklist. If SESP is not required, provide the reason for the exemption in the evidence section.]

- Yes
- No
- SESP not required because project consists solely of (Select all exemption criteria that apply)
- 1: Preparation and dissemination of reports, documents and communication materials
 - 2: Organization of an event, workshop, training
 - 3: Strengthening capacities of partners to participate in international negotiations and conferences
 - 4: Partnership coordination (including UN coordination) and management of networks
 - 5: Global/regional projects with no country level activities (e.g. knowledge management, inter-governmental processes)
 - 6: UNDP acting as Administrative Agent

Evidence:

Se adjunta SESP

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Risk Category	Risk Requirements	Document Status	Modified By	Modified On
1	SESPTemplate-MPTFCOVID19borrador_5537_110 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/SESPTemplate-MPTFCOVID19borrador_5537_110 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/SESPTemplate-MPTFCOVID19borrador_5537_110.pdf))	Low	Human Rights; Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment	Draft	daniella.olivares@undp.org	6/19/2020 6:55:00 PM

Management & Monitoring

Quality Rating: **Satisfactory**

11. Does the project have a strong results framework?

- 3: *The project's selection of outputs and activities are at an appropriate level. Outputs are accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators that measure the key expected development changes, each with credible data sources and populated baselines and targets, including gender sensitive, target group focused, sex-disaggregated indicators where appropriate. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project's selection of outputs and activities are at an appropriate level. Outputs are accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators, but baselines, targets and data sources may not yet be fully specified. Some use of target group focused, sex-disaggregated indicators, as appropriate. (all must be true)
- 1: The project's selection of outputs and activities are not at an appropriate level; outputs are not accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators that measure the expected change and have not been populated with baselines and targets; data sources are not specified, and/or no gender sensitive, sex-disaggregation of indicators. (if any is true)

Evidence:

Se adjunta el marco de resultados del proyecto.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

12. Is the project's governance mechanism clearly defined in the project document, including composition of the project board?

- 3: The project's governance mechanism is fully defined. Individuals have been specified for each position in the governance mechanism (especially all members of the project board.) Project Board members have agreed on their roles and responsibilities as specified in the terms of reference. The ToR of the project board has been attached to the project document. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project's governance mechanism is defined; specific institutions are noted as holding key governance roles, but individuals may not have been specified yet. The project document lists the most important responsibilities of the project board, project director/manager and quality assurance roles. (all must be true)*
- 1: The project's governance mechanism is loosely defined in the project document, only mentioning key roles that will need to be filled at a later date. No information on the responsibilities of key positions in the governance mechanism is provided.

Evidence:

El proyecto sigue los lineamientos del Donante para la determinación de la gobernanza del proyecto y que se especifican en los "TERMS OF REFERENCE UN COVID-19 Response and Recovery Multi-Partner Trust Fund", a nivel local, se riden cuenta frente a la RCO.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	TORCOVID-19MPTF_5537_112 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/TORCOVID-19MPTF_5537_112.pdf)	laura.rivera@undp.org	6/22/2020 6:27:00 PM

13. Have the project risks been identified with clear plans stated to manage and mitigate each risk?

- 3: Project risks related to the achievement of results are fully described in the project risk log, based on comprehensive analysis drawing on the programme's theory of change, Social and Environmental Standards and screening, situation analysis, capacity assessments and other analysis such as funding potential and reputational risk. Risks have been identified through a consultative process with key internal and external stakeholders, including consultation with the UNDP Security Office as required. Clear and complete plan in place to manage and mitigate each risk, including security risks, reflected in project budgeting and monitoring plans. (both must be true)
- 2: *Project risks related to the achievement of results are identified in the initial project risk log based on a minimum level of analysis and consultation, with mitigation measures identified for each risk.*
- 1: Some risks may be identified in the initial project risk log, but no evidence of consultation or analysis and no clear risk mitigation measures identified. This option is also selected if risks are not clearly identified, no initial risk log is included with the project document and/or no security risk management process has taken place for the project.

Evidence:

Se adjunta la matriz de riesgos.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Matrizderiesgos_5537_113 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Matrizderiesgos_5537_113.pdf)	daniella.olivares@undp.org	6/19/2020 7:15:00 PM

Efficient**Quality Rating: Exemplary**

14. Have specific measures for ensuring cost-efficient use of resources been explicitly mentioned as part of the project design? This can include, for example:

- i) Using the theory of change analysis to explore different options of achieving the maximum results with the resources available.
- ii) Using a portfolio management approach to improve cost effectiveness through synergies with other interventions.
- iii) Through joint operations (e.g., monitoring or procurement) with other partners.
- iv) Sharing resources or coordinating delivery with other projects.
- v) Using innovative approaches and technologies to reduce the cost of service delivery or other types of interventions.

- Yes
 No

Evidence:

Se adjunta el plan de trabajo.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	PlandeTrabajo2020-REVISIONINICIAL_5537_114 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PlandeTrabajo2020-REVISIONINICIAL_5537_114.pdf)	daniella.olivares@undp.org	6/19/2020 7:15:00 PM

15. Is the budget justified and supported with valid estimates?

- 3: The project's budget is at the activity level with funding sources, and is specified for the duration of the project period in a multi-year budget. Realistic resource mobilisation plans are in place to fill unfunded components. Costs are supported with valid estimates using benchmarks from similar projects or activities. Cost implications from inflation and foreign exchange exposure have been estimated and incorporated in the budget. Adequate costs for monitoring, evaluation, communications and security have been incorporated.
- 2: The project's budget is at the activity level with funding sources, when possible, and is specified for the duration of the project in a multi-year budget, but no funding plan is in place. Costs are supported with valid estimates based on prevailing rates.
- 1: The project's budget is not specified at the activity level, and/or may not be captured in a multi-year budget.

Evidence:

Se adjunta el presupuesto del proyecto

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	PresupuestoCOVIDMPTF-10.06.20_5537_115 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PresupuestoCOVIDMPTF-10.06.20_5537_115.xlsx)	daniella.olivares@undp.org	6/19/2020 7:16:00 PM

16. Is the Country Office / Regional Hub / Global Project fully recovering the costs involved with project implementation?

- 3: The budget fully covers all project costs that are attributable to the project, including programme management and development effectiveness services related to strategic country programme planning, quality assurance, pipeline development, policy advocacy services, finance, procurement, human resources, administration, issuance of contracts, security, travel, assets, general services, information and communications based on full costing in accordance with prevailing UNDP policies (i.e., UPL, LPL.)
- 2: The budget covers significant project costs that are attributable to the project based on prevailing UNDP policies (i.e., UPL, LPL) as relevant.
- 1: The budget does not adequately cover project costs that are attributable to the project, and UNDP is cross-subsidizing the project.

Evidence:

Se adjunta la propuesta del proyecto, donde se detalla los costos de DPC, GMS y personal

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	ProposalMPTF-Covid19PNUD-UNODC-Call1Window10021-signed_5537_116 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ProposalMPTF-Covid19PNUD-UNODC-Call1Window10021-signed_5537_116.pdf)	daniella.olivares@undp.org	6/19/2020 7:17:00 PM

Effective

Quality Rating: **Highly Satisfactory**

17. Have targeted groups been engaged in the design of the project?

- 3: Credible evidence that all targeted groups, prioritising discriminated and marginalized populations that will be involved in or affected by the project, have been actively engaged in the design of the project. The project has an explicit strategy to identify, engage and ensure the meaningful participation of target groups as stakeholders throughout the project, including through monitoring and decision-making (e.g., representation on the project board, inclusion in samples for evaluations, etc.)
- 2: *Some evidence that key targeted groups have been consulted in the design of the project.*
- 1: No evidence of engagement with targeted groups during project design.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

El proyecto fue consensuado con el Gobierno de El Salvador, específicamente con el Ministerio de Salud, y con ellos se determinó que la población a atender sería aquellos pacientes no COVID 19, que tienen enfermedades crónicas, y que están más expuestos a contraer el virus.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	SolicitudMINSAL-CORRESO_5537_117 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/SolicitudMINSAL-CORRESO_5537_117.xlsx)	daniella.olivares@undp.org	6/19/2020 7:18:00 PM

18. Does the project plan for adaptation and course correction if regular monitoring activities, evaluation, and lesson learned demonstrate there are better approaches to achieve the intended results and/or circumstances change during implementation?

- Yes
 No

Evidence:

Se adjunta la matriz de riesgos donde esta el plan de mitigación.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Matrizderiesgos_5537_118 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Matrizderiesgos_5537_118.pdf)	daniella.olivares@undp.org	6/19/2020 7:22:00 PM

19. The gender marker for all project outputs are scored at GEN2 or GEN3, indicating that gender has been fully mainstreamed into all project outputs at a minimum.

- Yes
 No

Evidence:

El proyecto es GEN 2. Se adjunta el documento de proyecto.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	ProposalMPTF-Covid19PNUD-UNODC-Call1Window10021-signed_5537_119 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ProposalMPTF-Covid19PNUD-UNODC-Call1Window10021-signed_5537_119.pdf)	daniella.olivares@undp.org	6/19/2020 7:23:00 PM

Sustainability & National Ownership**Quality Rating: Exemplary**

20. Have national / regional / global partners led, or proactively engaged in, the design of the project?

- 3: *National partners (or regional/global partners for regional and global projects) have full ownership of the project and led the process of the development of the project jointly with UNDP.*
- 2: The project has been developed by UNDP in close consultation with national / regional / global partners.
- 1: The project has been developed by UNDP with limited or no engagement with national partners.

Evidence:

Se han sostenido y consultado al Gobierno de El Salvador en el diseño del proyecto. Se adjunta correos sobre la comunicación y carta de la Comisionada Presidencial dando ok al Proyecto. Asimismo, la invitación desde la sede del SNU para participar en esta fase 1 de propuesta, que toma en consideración las necesidades y planes de El Salvador

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	NotaNNUUMPTFCOVID-19_5537_120 (http://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/NotaNNUUMPTFCOVID-19_5537_120.pdf)	laura.rivera@undp.org	6/22/2020 6:46:00 PM
2	SolicitudMINSAL-CORREOS_5537_120 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/SolicitudMINSAL-CORREOS_5537_120.xlsx)	daniella.olivares@undp.org	6/19/2020 7:25:00 PM
3	LettertoRctoapplyUNCOVID1915AprilFINALVERSION_5537_120 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/LettertoRctoapplyUNCOVID1915AprilFINALVERSION_5537_120.docx)	laura.rivera@undp.org	6/22/2020 6:48:00 PM

21. Are key institutions and systems identified, and is there a strategy for strengthening specific / comprehensive capacities based on capacity assessments conducted?

- 3: The project has a strategy for strengthening specific capacities of national institutions and/or actors based on a completed capacity assessment. This strategy includes an approach to regularly monitor national capacities using clear indicators and rigorous methods of data collection, and adjust the strategy to strengthen national capacities accordingly.
- 2: A capacity assessment has been completed. There are plans to develop a strategy to strengthen specific capacities of national institutions and/or actors based on the results of the capacity assessment.
- 1: Capacity assessments have not been carried out.
- Not Applicable*

Evidence:

El proyecto no ha realizado ni requiere de Capacity Assessment.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

22. Is there is a clear strategy embedded in the project specifying how the project will use national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluations, etc.,) to the extent possible?

- Yes
- No
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

Existe una estrategia de como el proyecto utilizar el sistema nacional, especificamente para hacer el del ivery de los medicamentos, asi como la identificacio n de las personas a atender.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	ProposalMPTF-Covid19PNUD-UNODC-Call1Window10021-signed_5537_122 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ProposalMPTF-Covid19PNUD-UNODC-Call1Window10021-signed_5537_122.pdf)	daniella.olivares@undp.org	6/19/2020 7:21:00 PM

23. Is there a clear transition arrangement / phase-out plan developed with key stakeholders in order to sustain or scale up results (including resource mobilisation and communications strategy)?

- Yes
 No

Evidence:

Se espera que el proyecto pueda ser escalable. Se adjunta el documento del proyecto

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	ProposalMPTF-Covid19PNUD-UNODC-Call1Window10021-signed_5537_123 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ProposalMPTF-Covid19PNUD-UNODC-Call1Window10021-signed_5537_123.pdf)	daniella.olivares@undp.org	6/19/2020 7:19:00 PM

QA Summary/LPAC Comments

De acuerdo a los lineamientos del donante, se realiza un Quality Assurance Check List por la Resident Coordinator . Se adjunta el checklist con aprobación por la RCO en la respuesta 1.